

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CRAIGSLIST, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

3TAPS, INC., ET AL.,

Defendants.

No. 3:12-cv-03816-CRB


**ORDER DENYING  
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO  
SEAL**

Plaintiff craigslist, Inc. (“craigslist”) moved to seal certain portions of craigslist’s Opposition to Defendant Padmapper Inc.’s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment, on the grounds that the Opposition referenced information extracted from material designated as “Protected” by Defendants as defined by the parties’ Stipulated Protective Order. Admin. Mot. to Seal (dkt. 148).

Northern District of California Civil Local Rule 79-5(e) governs such situations. Under that rule, Defendants had four days to file with the Court a declaration and narrowly tailored sealing order supporting its confidentiality designation. Defendants filed no such declaration. Accordingly, Plaintiff’s Administrative Motion to File Under Seal is DENIED under Rule 79-5(e).

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: December 3, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
CHARLES R. BREYER  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE